INTIMA-MEDIA THICKNESS MEASUREMENTS IN THE FETUS AND MOTHER DURING PREGNANCY: A FEASIBILITY STUDY
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Abstract—Fetal intima-media thickness (IMT) has been suggested as a marker of pre-clinical atherosclerosis, and maternal IMT could be altered through dynamic circumstances related to pregnancy. We investigated the feasibility of measurement of IMT at four pre-defined fetal and four pre-defined maternal arterial locations to determine vascular changes that could be associated with impaired vascular function. IMT was measured from the first to third trimester (12–34 wk), in 38 low-risk pregnancies. We imaged a 10-mm region of interest using a Mindray (Shenzhen, China) high-resolution ultrasound machine with automated IMT measurement software. Fetal abdominal aorta IMT was measurable during the second trimester in 71% and during the third trimester in 100% of the cases, and umbilical artery IMT was measurable in 50% and 82% of cases during the second and third trimesters, respectively. Fetal IMT measurements were not possible during the first trimester. It was not often feasible to measure the IMT of the fetal common carotid artery, fetal renal artery and maternal iliac artery (maximal 20% of cases). Maternal common carotid artery, abdominal aorta and uterine artery IMTs were measurable throughout pregnancy. There was a significant relation between gestational age and IMT in the umbilical artery (p = 0.03) and a significant relation between body mass index and IMT in the maternal common carotid artery (p = 0.01). IMT measurements are feasible in some maternal and fetal vessels of interest. Further studies are underway to obtain more insight into vascular development during normal and pathologic pregnancies. (E-mail: roland.devlieger@uzleuven.be)
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INTRODUCTION

The fetal environment has been found to be at the origin of conditions that present during adulthood. Low birth weight or intra-uterine growth restriction (IUGR) is associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD), type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension in adult life (Barker et al. 1989). This “fetal origin of adult disease” theory was also proposed by Law et al. (1993). In these studies adults who were small at birth had higher blood pressure and an increased prevalence of CVD. It has been suggested that relative fetal undernourishment causes alterations that involve cardiovascular development, especially at the arterial level (Crispi et al. 2012).

Arteries are composed of three concentric layers. The intima is composed of endothelium; the media is a distinct layered structure of smooth muscle cells, collagen and elastic fibers; and the outer part of the vessel is the adventitia, which also comprises collagen and elastic fibers. In pathology studies, intima-media thickness (IMT) in the abdominal artery is reported to be the first site involved in the process of atherosclerosis in adults (McGill et al. 2000). Histology of the abdominal aorta wall of an intra-uterine growth-restricted stillborn infant with a prenatally increased IMT revealed inflammation of the thickened intima layer. Lo Vasco et al. (2011) suggested that this is a very early sign of future adult lesions. The assessment of pre-clinical IMT changes may be an important predictor of future...
atherosclerosis and cardiovascular risk. Ultrasonography has the potential to detect these vascular changes.

Few studies have measured IMT in fetuses during pregnancy. Cosmi et al. (2009) measured the IMT of the abdominal aorta in 38 fetuses with IUGR and 32 appropriate-for-gestational-age (AGA) fetuses. The measurements were performed around 33 wk of gestational age (range: 30–34 wk). They focused on a single fetal vessel, the fetal abdominal aorta, and found that the IMT in the IUGR group was increased compared with that in the AGA group. Their consecutive studies represent the first on the sole use of a sonographic technique to investigate IMT in the fetus (Zanardo et al. 2011, 2013). IMT in mothers has also been poorly investigated so far. Existing studies have been performed on the common carotid artery (CCA) only. Furthermore, studies were performed only in late pregnancy and compared these values with those of non-pregnant groups and hypertensive versus non-hypertensive groups. These reports are inconsistent on whether the CCA IMT is increased in pregnancy (Sator et al. 1999). There are also some reports suggesting that the intima/media ratio is increased as a result of the inflammation and recruitment of inflammatory cells that occur in the atherosclerotic process, primarily in the intima layer (Akhter et al. 2013). It has been hypothesized that the pregnancy-related increased hemodynamic load causes adaptations of elastic arteries, which could be gestational age dependent (Yuan et al. 2013b). Also, acute changes in IMT in response to acute blood pressure and vascular tone modifications have been reported (Thijssen et al. 2011).

No direct maternal influences on vascular wall property changes in the fetus have been reported yet. One possible factor could be the hyper-estrogenic state of the mother, which may affect the fetus through transplacental passage (Crispi et al. 2012; Sator et al. 1999). Also, pre-gestational maternal body mass index (BMI) could be an identifiable metabolic risk factor (Raitakari et al. 2003).

There seems to be a lack of research on other vascular sites and vascular wall properties, in both the fetus and the mother. Therefore, we wanted to investigate the feasibility of performing IMT measurements at predefined vessel locations using automated software in the fetus and mother during pregnancy. Furthermore, the IMT measurements were investigated in relation to gestational age, BMI and distance from the ultrasound probe to the target vessel (depth).

**METHODS**

We performed a cross-sectional study between March and August 2011 in pregnant women with a low risk of CVD: no known maternal hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, pre-existing hypertension, pregnancy-induced hypertension or pre-eclampsia. The study was performed in the context of the pilot phase of the DALI (Vitamin D and Lifestyle Intervention) study to prevent gestational diabetes, a 7th Framework Program of the European Community (FP7, Grant Agreement 242187). Patients who came for their routine ultrasound scans at the prenatal care unit at the University Hospital Leuven were recruited. The scans are planned at about 12, 20 and 30 wk of gestation; gestational age was confirmed during the first trimester. Additional patients were included from admissions at the maternal intensive care unit for threatened premature birth but in the absence of cardiovascular compromise (hypertension, pre-eclampsia or hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, low platelet count syndrome). Pre-conception BMI (in kg/m²) was calculated using height measurements at the first antenatal visit and the self-reported weight before conception. Approval by the medical ethics committee was given, and informed consent was obtained at inclusion.

Intima-media thickness was measured by a single experienced operator (S.G.) with the M7 high-resolution real-time B-mode ultrasound machine (Mindray, Shenzhen, China), equipped with 6.0- to 14.0-MHz, 4.0- to 7.0-MHz and 3.0- to 7.0-MHz linear array transducers and automated and validated IMT measurement software (Figs. 1 and 2). The probe was chosen on the basis of the
depth of penetration required. In the majority of cases (n = 35), we used the high-frequency (3.0–7.0 MHz) probe. In all measurements, both fetal and maternal, we used a minimum region of interest (ROI) window of 10 mm, an angle of insonation of 60°–90° in a coronal or sagittal view and the vessel in end-diastolic cardiac phase, as determined on the B-mode image. The IMT was defined as the distance between the leading edge of the blood-intima interface and the leading edge of the media-adventitia interface on the far wall of the vessel and was measured in micrometers. The automated IMT wall tracking software allowed online measurement of the target vessel: Minimum, maximum and mean IMT values were outlined immediately. Hereby a feedback mechanism was available for the relationship of IMT to vessel lumen properties. If the maximum IMT measurement crossed the anatomic delineation of the vessel and hence created a large discrepancy with the minimum IMT value, a large standard deviation (SD) resulted. For fetal vessels, a SD ≤ 100 µm, and for maternal vessels a SD ≤ 130 µm, was considered a valid measurement and otherwise rejected for recording. These cutoff points were chosen to obtain realistic values for IMT as a quality control for the innovative IMT measurements. Vessel depth was measured in a straight angle from the center of the ultrasound probe surface to the far end of the target vessel wall.

We aimed to obtain one image per pre-defined location of the vessel at three consecutive time points and analyzed these immediately, bedside. Maternal measurements were performed after completion of fetal measurements, allowing the mothers to reach a state of relative rest.

Fetal and maternal arteries were measured at predefined locations:

- Fetal abdominal aorta: below the renal artery junction and above the iliac bifurcation (Fig. 1).
- Fetal umbilical artery: in a longitudinal plane, a horizontal trajectory part of the vessel.
- Fetal common carotid artery (fetal CCA): on the left or right side (depending on fetal position), proximal to the junction of the carotid bulb.
- Fetal renal artery: in the left or right renal artery (depending on position of the fetus).
- Maternal common carotid artery (maternal CCA): patient in supine position, head tilted slightly left laterally (angle of 45°), probe approximately 6 cm above the clavicle; measurement 1–2 cm proximal to the junction of the internal-external carotid artery, the carotid artery bulb (Fig. 2), as described by Willekes et al. (1999).
- Maternal abdominal aorta: right lateral tilted position, identification of left kidney and renal artery; measurement below the junction of the renal artery and above the iliac bifurcation.
- Maternal uterine artery: Median of the cross point of the uterine artery and external iliac artery, preferably on the ipsilateral side of the placental location.
- Maternal external iliac artery: probe positioning by movement laterally from the uterus and identification of the external iliac artery from the vein by Doppler signal.

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS software Version 20.1 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Categorical values were compared using the Fisher exact test. The relationship between BMI and feasibility or non-feasibility of measurement of IMT was tested with an independent sample t-test because BMI was distributed normally. Repeated measurements were compared with an intra-class correlation coefficient (two-way random effects). The relationships between IMT, gestational age and BMI, and also that between the coefficient of variation and depth, were tested with Spearman’s bivariate correlation. A p-value < 0.05 was considered to indicate significance. All tests were two-tailed.

RESULTS

Study population
During the study period, 38 pregnant women were recruited; there were 36 Caucasians and 2 Africans. Median age was 31 ± 2.3 y (range: 17–38 y). Two women were measured at a different gestational age; in one
mother, both twins were measured, resulting in a total of 41 ultrasound examinations.

Gestational age (GA) ranged from 12 wk to 33 wk 5 d, with a median of 29 wk. Five ultrasound examinations were performed during the first trimester (GA <14 wk), 14 ultrasound examinations during the second trimester (GA ≥14 and <28 wk) and 22 ultrasound examinations during the third trimester (GA ≥28 wk). Mean arterial pressure measured after the ultrasound scan was 103.8 ± 8.2 mm Hg (range: 85.7–123 mm Hg).

Pre-pregnancy BMI of the women ranged from 18 to 30 kg/m² (median: 23 kg/m²). There were two ultrasound examinations in underweight women (BMI <18.5 kg/m²), 27 ultrasound examinations in normal-weight women (BMI ≥18.5 and <25 kg/m²), 10 ultrasound examinations in overweight women (BMI ≥25 and <30 kg/m²) and 2 ultrasound examinations in obese women (BMI ≥30 kg/m²) (World Health Organization classification of body mass index, 1995, kg/m²).

Six twin pregnancies were included in the study: 2 ultrasound examinations were performed in dichorionic di-amniotic twins, 4 ultrasound examinations in mono-chorionic di-amniotic twins and 2 in monochorionic mono-amniotic twins.

Seven women smoked during pregnancy and seven women received medication (1 tenovir/emtricitabine, lopinavir/ritonavir and levetiracetam; 3 atosiban in combination with betamethasone; 1 aspirin cardio; 1 levetiracetam, lopinavir/ritonavir and levetiracetam; 3 atosiban in combination with betamethasone; 1 aspirin cardio; 1 levetiracetam).

The majority of the examinations (n = 35) were performed with the high-frequency 3.0- to 7.0-MHz linear ultrasound transducer.

### Feasibility of measurement of IMT

Intima-media thickness was measurable in the fetal abdominal aorta (Fig. 1) in the second (71%) and third (100%) trimesters of pregnancy. IMT in the umbilical artery was measurable in the second (50%) and third (82%) trimesters of pregnancy, as outlined in Table 1. However, these measurements were not feasible during the first trimester. It was not feasible to measure the fetal common carotid artery and fetal renal artery in any trimester in our study. The maternal common carotid artery (Fig. 2) was measurable in all examinations (100%) in all trimesters (Table 1). Throughout pregnancy, the maternal abdominal aorta and the uterine artery were measurable in half of the cases (40%–60%). For the maternal external iliac artery, IMT measurements were not feasible at any gestational age in our study.

### Effect of gestational age and BMI on feasibility

The proportion of patients in whom it was feasible to measure IMT at the level of the fetal abdominal aorta increased significantly with increasing gestational age. It increased from 0% in the first trimester to 71% in the second trimester (p = 0.01), and further increased from 71% to 100% between the second and third trimesters (p = 0.02). The feasibility of measurement of IMT at the level of the fetal umbilical artery was also significantly related to gestational age, with a significant increase between the first and third trimesters (0% to 82%, p = 0.002), although the difference between the second and third trimesters was not significant (50% vs. 10%, p = 0.14). IMT of the fetal renal artery was never measurable (Table 1).

The feasibility of measurement of IMT in the maternal arteries studied was independent of gestational age. The IMT in the maternal external iliac artery was difficult to measure in all trimesters of pregnancy (Table 1).

No relationship was found between maternal BMI and the feasibility of measurement of IMT for any fetal or maternal blood vessel studied: fetal abdominal aorta (p = 0.18), umbilical artery (p = 0.19), fetal CCA (p = 0.19), maternal CCA (p = 0.50), maternal abdominal

### Table 1. Feasibility of at least one good-quality intima-media thickness measurement in pre-defined regions of fetal and maternal arteries in the first, second and third trimesters of pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vessel assessed</th>
<th>First trimester (n = 5)</th>
<th>Second trimester (n = 14)</th>
<th>Third trimester (n = 22)</th>
<th>Total (n = 41)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fetus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal aorta</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 (71%)</td>
<td>22 (100%)</td>
<td>32 (76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilical artery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
<td>18 (82%)</td>
<td>25 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common carotid artery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (18%)</td>
<td>4 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal artery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common carotid artery</td>
<td>4 (100%)</td>
<td>11 (100%)</td>
<td>16 (100%)</td>
<td>31 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal aorta</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
<td>9 (41%)</td>
<td>18 (44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine artery</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
<td>13 (59%)</td>
<td>22 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External iliac artery</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>1 (7%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The common carotid artery intima-media thickness measurement was examined in respectively 4 of 5, 11 of 14 and 16 of 22 women.
aorta ($p = 0.69$), maternal uterine artery ($p = 0.64$) and maternal external iliac artery ($p = 0.51$).

**Intra-observer agreement**

For each blood vessel measured, there was no significant difference between the first and second measurements, or between second and third and first and third repeated measurements. This was determined with the inter-class correlation coefficient: 0.965 for fetal abdominal aorta, 0.975 for fetal umbilical artery, 0.946 for maternal CCA, 0.999 for maternal abdominal aorta and 0.747 for maternal uterine artery.

**Range of IMT values throughout pregnancy and effect of BMI and depth**

The IMT range and median for each vessel are outlined per trimester in Table 2. In our small population, no relationship was evident between IMT and gestational age in the fetal abdominal aorta ($p = 0.13$), fetal CCA ($p = 0.20$), maternal CCA ($p = 0.92$), maternal abdominal aorta ($p = 0.54$) and maternal uterine artery ($p = 0.77$). However, we did find a significant relationship between IMT and gestational age in the fetal umbilical artery ($p = 0.03$), with median IMTs of 289 μm in the second trimester and 301 μm in third trimester.

We did not find a significant relationship between IMT and maternal BMI in the fetal abdominal aorta ($p = 0.18$), fetal umbilical artery ($p = 0.78$), fetal CCA ($p = 0.20$), maternal abdominal aorta ($p = 0.24$) or maternal uterine artery ($p = 0.86$). However, there was a significant relationship between the IMT and BMI in the maternal CCA ($p = 0.01$), with an IMT of 445 μm in one underweight woman; medians of 510 μm in normal-weight women and 540 μm in overweight women; and an IMT of 507 μm in one obese woman.

The mean coefficient of variation (SD/mean, SD and mean as reported by the Mindray software per measurement) and the mean with 95% confidence interval (CI) of the IMT values are reported (in μm) in Table 3. For the dispersion of the range of IMT values, the minimum, maximum and median IMT values (in μm) are also listed in Table 3, as are the mean depth and range of depths (in cm) of the vessels. The coefficient of variation was found to be the lowest for IMT in the fetal umbilical artery and was also low in the fetal abdominal aorta, maternal CCA and maternal abdominal aorta.

There was a significant positive relationship between vessel depth and coefficient of variation for the IMT value in the maternal CCA ($p = 0.27$, $p = 0.019$) and maternal uterine artery ($p = 0.45$, $p = 0.014$). However, there was no significant relationship in the fetal abdominal aorta ($p = 0.67$), fetal umbilical artery ($p = 0.33$), fetal CCA ($p = 0.60$) or maternal abdominal aorta ($p = 0.40$).

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study to investigate the feasibility of arterial IMT measurement in mother and fetus throughout pregnancy using ultrasound. We were able to measure IMT in the fetal abdominal aorta and the umbilical artery during the second and third trimesters. Furthermore, we found a positive relationship between IMT value and gestational age was evident in any of the other fetal and maternal blood vessels. The maternal CCA IMT could be measured throughout pregnancy and exhibited a positive association with pre-gestational BMI. Maternal abdominal aorta and uterine artery IMT measurements were feasible, although more difficult than measurement of maternal CCA IMT.

Cardiovascular disease generally becomes apparent in older patients; however, the intra-uterine environment has been postulated as having a crucial role in programming the fetus for short-term survival in the early postnatal period, but with impaired consequences for

---

**Table 2. Medians and ranges of intima-media thickness measurements in pre-defined regions of fetal and maternal arteries in the first, second and third trimesters of pregnancy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vessel assessed</th>
<th>First trimester</th>
<th>Second trimester</th>
<th>Third trimester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fetus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal aorta</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilical artery</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common carotid artery</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal artery</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mother</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common carotid artery</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>400–539</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal aorta</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>523–616</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine artery</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>163–415</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External iliac artery</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cardiovascular function and metabolic changes in later adult life when intra-uterine life is unfavorable (Barker et al. 1989; Galjaard et al. 2013; Law et al. 1993). Pathology and histology studies indicate that IMT thickening is caused by inflammation and that the abdominal aorta artery is the first location of increasing IMT as a sign of atherosclerosis (Jarvisalo et al. 2001; Lo Vasco et al. 2011; McGill et al. 2000). Therefore, assessment of pre-clinical IMT changes may be used to predict future atherosclerosis and cardiovascular risk.

In newborns, the association between birth weight, abnormal growth and increased IMT in the abdominal aortic artery and development of atherosclerosis later in life is supported by several studies (Jarvisalo et al. 2001; Koklu et al. 2007; Satoru et al. 2012; Skilton et al. 2005). In early childhood, Crispi et al. (2012) reported early signs of cardiovascular dysfunction at 3–6 y of age in children with IUGR and small-for-gestational-age (SGA) children as compared with AGA children. Not only was their cardiac function affected, but the CCA IMT was also increased in both children with IUGR and SGA children. In a recent study, Dratva et al. (2013) reported an increased CCA IMT at the age of 11 y to be associated with increased birth weight.

Very few studies, however, have measured IMT in fetuses and mothers during pregnancy. Cosmi et al. (2009) measured IMT in the abdominal aorta of 38 fetuses with IUGR and 32 AGA children. They found the IMT to be higher in fetuses with IUGR than in AGA fetuses (1.9 mm vs 1.15 mm, \( p < 0.001 \)). The measurements were performed around 33 wk of gestational age (range: 30–34 wk), and this study represents the first sonographic investigation of IMT in fetuses. Sarikabadayi et al. (2012) measured umbilical wall thickness in the third trimester with routine obstetric ultrasound equipment, but did not measure umbilical IMT. IMT in the carotid arteries in pregnant women was found to differ from IMT in the non-pregnant fertile female population. This was largely related to the increase in carotid media and decrease in carotid intima that supposedly occurs because of the different estrogen levels in the two groups (Sator et al. 1999). There is lack of research on other vascular sites in pregnant women. Furthermore, relationships between IMT development and pre-gestational weight (underweight, normal-weight, overweight and obese mothers), excessive gestational weight gain or gestational and type I/II diabetes mellitus have not yet been reported during pregnancy.

Our study employed a clear IMT measurement protocol to study the feasibility in relevant maternal and fetal arterial vessels at different gestational ages, using a cross-sectional design. The automated IMT wall tracking software allowed instantaneous measurement of the target vessel, and therefore, immediate feedback on the relationship of IMT to vessel lumen properties was available. If the maximum IMT measurement crossed the anatomic delineation of the vessel and hence created a large discrepancy with the minimum IMT value, a large standard deviation resulted. These cutoff values were used to define a successful measurement as a quality control for the innovative IMT measurements. Furthermore, through bedside analysis of three different consecutive images of the identical pre-defined vessel location instead of simply three off-line analyses of the same image, we could take the image acquisition process into account, being a large source of intra-observer variability.

The present study revealed several impediments to measurement of IMT in fetal arteries. In the first

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intima-media thickness (µm)</th>
<th>Fetus (^a)</th>
<th>Mother</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal aorta</td>
<td>Umbilical artery</td>
<td>Common carotid artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel depth (cm)</td>
<td>3.9–9.2</td>
<td>3.1–8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI = confidence interval, CV = coefficient of variation.

\(^a\) Data on the fetal renal artery were scarce.

\(^1\) To the far end of the vessel wall.
trimester, it was not possible to obtain a ROI window of 10 mm for all fetal vessels because of their small size. In the second and third trimesters, because of fetal movements, the position and distance of the target vessel from the ultrasound probe (“depth”) could impair measurement of IMT. In the umbilical artery, the coiling of the cord could prevent acquisition of a straight ROI window of at least 10 mm. The fetal CCA was often not visualized because of fetal position (anterior flexing of the fetal neck); hence a ROI window of 10 mm could not be defined. The main obstacle to obtaining reliable IMT measurements in the fetal renal artery was the limited angle of insonation (<60° or >90°) at which the vessel could be visualized.

The impediments to measuring IMT in maternal arteries generally were limited examination time and maternal discomfort, making it sometimes impossible to complete all measurements. Because its trajectory is superficial, the maternal CCA is, at each attempt, reachable for ultrasound examination. During pregnancy it was more difficult to reach the abdominal aorta because of the interposition of the fetus and uterus, and the “depth” sometimes prevented successful measurement. The uterine artery is characterized by a very curly trajectory, making it hard to obtain a ROI window of 10 mm in the correct angle of insonation (<60° or >90°). The correct angle was also the main obstacle to successful measurement of maternal external iliac artery IMT in pregnancy.

It is not easy to compare our quantitative IMT data with those of other studies as the available information relies mostly on histopathology or postnatal IMT studies, including the fetal-to-infancy transition period in IMT development. The median IMTs in the fetal CCA and abdominal aorta in our study were 295 and 335 μm, respectively, which are comparable to the results obtained by Jarvisalo et al. (2001). They performed an ultrasound study in 11- y-old children with a high risk (hypercholesterolemia/diabetes) and a low risk for atherosclerosis. In the control group they obtained IMTs in the CCA and abdominal aorta of 420 and 440 μm, respectively. In the high-risk group, both carotid IMT and abdominal aorta IMT were increased compared with values for the low-risk group. Abdominal aorta IMT was higher than CCA IMT, indicative of the predilection of the abdominal aorta as the first location of IMT changes related to atherosclerosis. The small variation with our study could be related to the different time frame (fetuses vs. children) in which the IMT was measured by Jarvisalo et al. (2001). But globally, the studies support the robustness of our findings (Dratva et al. 2013).

In preterm neonates, abdominal aorta IMT was found to increase from 316 to 348 μm for children born at respectively 25 and 37 wk of gestation (Koklu et al. 2007). This is very similar to our results (335 μm), although we could not report a significant positive relationship between IMT and gestational age in our study, probably because of our small study population. Furthermore, it is unknown whether birth has an effect on vessel properties, for example, as a result of a change in blood pressure and hence different distention of arteries after birth. In their ultrasound study, Cosmi et al. (2009) reported an IMT of 1150 μm in third-trimester fetuses, which can probably partly be explained by the fact that the authors studied a growth-restricted population. Also, they used different equipment and ultrasound resolution in their elegant studies (Zanardo et al. 2011, 2013).

The median IMT of the umbilical artery in our study was 301 μm in the third trimester. In their histopathology study, Junek et al. (2000) reported an IMT of about 30 μm in umbilical cords, measured after birth. They compared the evolution of IMT in umbilical arteries and veins after birth from fetuses with IUGR (controls) and fetuses born of mothers with pre-eclampsia (PE). They found that the total IMT increased with advancing gestational age at birth, because the increase in the intima was larger than the observed decrease in the media. Between the two groups the IMT was 15% thicker in the umbilical arteries from fetuses of mothers with PE than in the controls. Of course, the umbilical cord changes significantly after birth with contraction of Wharton’s jelly, the umbilical vein and arteries. We can only speculate if this may explain the difference in IMTs between our study and that of Junek et al. (2000). Sarikabadayi et al. (2012) measured umbilical wall thickness in the third trimester with standard obstetric ultrasound and umbilical IMT and wall thickness in postnatal pathology specimens. Although there was a positive correlation between prenatal and postnatal findings, the absolute values cannot be compared between these two time frames because of the different structures examined.

Maternal CCA IMT was, on average, 517 μm in our study. This is comparable to the result obtained by Sator et al. (1999), who reported 560 μm in pregnant women. We did not find a correlation with gestational age, but our study was designed to study feasibility at different time points in gestation and not gestational age-related effects.

Interestingly, we found a positive relationship between maternal CCA IMT and maternal preconception BMI. This is in accordance with the study of Dick et al. (2013), who found a positive relationship between IMT and obesity, although their study was conducted in male and non-pregnant female patients. Yuan et al. (2013a) found very recently, in late third-trimester pregnancy, a difference in maternal CCA IMT between normotensive and pre-eclamptic pregnancies (351 ± 85 μm vs. 459 ± 95 μm).

Several limitations to our present study have to be addressed. The number of patients studied was relatively
small and comprised a heterogeneous, although cardiovascular low-risk, obstetric population. Our study also compiled some longitudinal data with mostly cross-sectional measurements. Although significant relations were observed, the study may have been underpowered to show other correlations such as for gestational age or smoking. Furthermore, by defining a limitation in restricting the SD range for successful fetal and maternal IMT measurements, there is the possibility of underestimating the IMT measurements and hence probable first signs of inflammation or atherosclerosis. With respect to methodology, we chose to focus on the arterial vessel wall and a ROI of 10 mm. Another possibility is to include the lumen diameter of the vessel of interest in the measurements. Satoru et al. (2012) combined the lumen diameter of the abdominal aorta and IMT into an adjusted IMT (aIMT in mm/mm) measurement in newborns. For first-trimester measurements, consideration should be given to choosing a ROI window smaller than 10 mm to be able to measure fetal IMT or measure IMT in such tortuous vessels as the umbilical artery and uterine artery. Finally, more information on the plasticity of the vessel can be acquired if the automated software would take into account the influence of blood pressure, blood velocity and wall velocity from the vessels. The shear stress or arterial stiffness could thereby be defined through different cardiac cycles (Rossi et al. 2009; Yuan et al. 2013a, b). A longitudinal study would be required to observe intra-individual changes in IMT in selected blood vessels during gestation.

CONCLUSIONS

This is the first study to investigate the possibility of measuring IMT during pregnancy in both fetal and maternal blood vessels. The study proves the feasibility of reliably measuring IMT with automated software in the fetal abdominal aorta and umbilical artery in the second and third trimesters of gestation. Strong correlations between our findings on fetal abdominal aorta IMT and those from the study by Koklu et al. (2007) on newborns of different gestational ages, support the robustness of our findings. Obesity of the mother did not hamper measurement of IMT in fetal arteries. The positive relationship between maternal CCA IMT and BMI invites further investigation into abnormal pregnancy. Furthermore, fetal IMT development in abnormal pregnancy is of interest, as it could contribute to elucidating the mechanisms of intra-uterine fetal programming and the prediction of the origin of adult metabolic diseases.
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